

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/707,824	11/07/2000	Edward Howorka	P/3331-111	1358
7590 10/22/2003			EXAMINER	
	VEISBURD, ESQ.	BEACH, THOMAS A		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS - 41ST FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/707,824	HOWORKA, EDWARD			
· ,	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Thomas A Beach	3671			
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period f	r Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repulation of the properties of the p	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 9-36 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 9-36 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
	on Papers	·				
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/07/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner .						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prid application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
)					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Ti PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			



Application/Control Number: 09/707,824

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim s 27-29 recites the limitation "the percentage" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).



Application/Control Number: 09/707,824

Art Unit: 3671

1. Claims 9-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Howarka 6,282,521.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

2. Howarka shows a process for displaying quotes on a trader screen in an automated system that includes a plurality of traders with some having bilateral credit with one another (those being trading counterparties) displaying a quote by a first trader and providing indication of the quote being accepted by more than one but less than all of the counterparties (figures 2 & 4, claim 1). Howarka shows that the first trader's trading counterparties can accept the first traders' quote if it is the best available (col. lines; claims 10, 19, 21 and 32) if it is the best price available (claim 1; claims 11 and 22) or equal in price to another quote that is first in time relative to another quote (col. 1, line 27; claims 12 and 23). Howark shows the traders having bilateral credit with one another has an evolving credit status that is determined in real time in order to remain a trading counter party (col. 2, lines 4-26, col. 4, lines 53-62; claim 13). Howarka shows the determination of the number of trading counterparties, determining a percentage who can accept the quote and providing the indication to the first trader when the percentage is greater than or equal to a predetermined percentage (abstract; claims 14

Art Unit: 3671

and 25) and determining the number of counterparties who can accept the quote and comparing that number to the total number, 25% (abstract; claims 15-16 and 26) where the trading floor contain one or more individuals (col. 5, lines 58-66; claims 17 and 30) and the traders are individuals (col. 1, lines 21-25; claims 18 and 31). Howarka shows, in figures 1-2, that screens are used to graphically display data in specific fields utilizing the EBS system such as calculated percentages (col. 5, lines 1-5; claim 27-29).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A Beach whose telephone number is 703.305.4848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703.308.3870. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9306 or 703.872.9306 for regular communications and 703.872.9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.306.4198.

Thomas A. Beach

October 19, 2003

Thomas **3**. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600